Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University

### Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them

PANM 20

Vít Dolejší, Filip Roskovec

24. 6. 2020



# Content



#### Linear problems

Motivating experiment Standard DWR method DG discretization Adjoint consistency Algebraic errors *hp*-anisotropic mesh adaptation Numerical experiments

#### Nonlinear problems

Abstract setting Euler equations



• we are not interested in u itself, but in the quantity of interest J(u)

- mean heat flux through the part of boundary (Nusselt number)
- regularized point value
- drag and lift coefficient in aerodynamics
- mean surface pressure of a body in an inviscid flow
- ►  $J: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  target functional
  - J(u) may be linear or nonlinear (usually for nonlinear problems)



• we are not interested in u itself, but in the quantity of interest J(u)

- mean heat flux through the part of boundary (Nusselt number)
- regularized point value
- drag and lift coefficient in aerodynamics
- mean surface pressure of a body in an inviscid flow
- ►  $J: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  target functional
- $\blacktriangleright$  J(u) may be linear or nonlinear (usually for nonlinear problems)



• we are not interested in u itself, but in the quantity of interest J(u)

- mean heat flux through the part of boundary (Nusselt number)
- regularized point value
- drag and lift coefficient in aerodynamics
- mean surface pressure of a body in an inviscid flow
- $J: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  target functional
  - J(u) may be linear or nonlinear (usually for nonlinear problems)



• we are not interested in u itself, but in the quantity of interest J(u)

- mean heat flux through the part of boundary (Nusselt number)
- regularized point value
- drag and lift coefficient in aerodynamics
- mean surface pressure of a body in an inviscid flow
- $J: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  target functional
- J(u) may be linear or nonlinear (usually for nonlinear problems)

#### Motivating experiment Linear case with dominant convection

## Problem setting

Primal problem: 
$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}u) = 0$$
,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (-x_2, x_1), \varepsilon = 10^{-6}$   
Target functional  $J(u) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{E}|} \int_{\boldsymbol{E}} u \, \mathrm{d}x$ 

#### Boundary conditions and primal solution







#### Motivating experiment Linear case with dominant convection

## Problem setting

Primal problem: 
$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}u) = 0$$
,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (-x_2, x_1), \varepsilon = 10^{-6}$   
Target functional  $J(u) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{E}|} \int_{\boldsymbol{E}} u \, \mathrm{d}x$ 

#### Adaptive refinement based on a standard estimates





Primal problem:  $-\varepsilon \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}u) = 0$ ,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (-x_2, x_1)$ ,  $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ Adjoint problem:  $-\varepsilon \Delta z - \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla z = \chi_F$ , where  $E \subset \Omega$ .

#### Primal and adjoint solutions





Primal problem: 
$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}u) = 0$$
,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (-x_2, x_1), \varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ 

Adjoint problem:  $-\varepsilon \Delta z - \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla z = \chi_E$ , where  $\boldsymbol{E} \subset \Omega$ .

#### Adaptive refinement based on goal-oriented algorithm





Primal problem: 
$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}u) = 0$$
,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (-x_2, x_1), \varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ 

Adjoint problem:  $-\varepsilon \Delta z - \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla z = \chi_E$ , where  $\boldsymbol{E} \subset \Omega$ .

#### Comparison of the resulting solutions





Primal problem:  $-\varepsilon \Delta u + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b}u) = 0$ ,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (-x_2, x_1)$ ,  $\varepsilon = 10^{-6}$ 

Adjoint problem:  $-\varepsilon \Delta z - \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla z = \chi_E$ , where  $\boldsymbol{E} \subset \Omega$ .

#### Comparison of resulting meshes





#### Poisson equation

#### Find a function *u* such that

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.$$

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



#### Primal weak formulation

Find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $a(u,\varphi) = \ell(\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ 

where  $a(u, \varphi) = (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega}$  and  $\ell(\varphi) = (f, \varphi)_{\Omega}$ .

#### Adjoint weak formulation

Find  $z \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $a(\phi, z) = J(\phi) \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ 

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



#### Primal weak formulation

Find  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $a(u,\varphi) = \ell(\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ 

where  $a(u, \varphi) = (\nabla u, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega}$  and  $\ell(\varphi) = (f, \varphi)_{\Omega}$ .

#### Adjoint weak formulation

Find  $z \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

 $a(\phi, z) = J(\phi) \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ 



#### Primal discrete problem

Find  $u_h \in V_h := \{ v \in C(\overline{\Omega}); v |_k \in P^p(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \}$  such that

$$a(u_h,\varphi_h) = \ell(\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$$

Adjoint discrete problem

Find  $z_h \in V_h$  such that

 $a(\phi_h, z_h) = J(\phi_h) \quad \forall \phi_h \in V_h.$ 

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



#### Primal discrete problem

Find  $u_h \in V_h := \{ v \in C(\overline{\Omega}); v |_k \in P^p(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \}$  such that

$$a(u_h, \varphi_h) = \ell(\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$$

#### Adjoint discrete problem

Find  $z_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a(\phi_h, z_h) = J(\phi_h) \quad \forall \phi_h \in V_h.$$



#### Error identity

$$J(u) - J(u_h) = J(u - u_h) = a(u - u_h, z)$$
  
=  $a(u - u_h, z - \varphi_h)$   
=  $f(z - \varphi_h) - a(u_h, z - \varphi_h)$   
=:  $r_h(u_h)(z - \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$ 

#### Adjoint error identity

$$J(u) - J(u_h) = a(u - u_h, z)$$
  
=  $a(u - u_h, z - z_h)$   
=  $a(u - \varphi_h, z - z_h)$   
=  $J(u - \varphi_h) - a(u - \varphi_h, z_h)$   
=:  $r_h^*(z_h)(u - \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$ 



#### Error identity

$$J(u) - J(u_h) = J(u - u_h) = a(u - u_h, z)$$
  
=  $a(u - u_h, z - \varphi_h)$   
=  $f(z - \varphi_h) - a(u_h, z - \varphi_h)$   
=:  $r_h(u_h)(z - \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$ 

#### Adjoint error identity

$$J(u) - J(u_h) = a(u - u_h, z)$$
  
=  $a(u - u_h, z - z_h)$   
=  $a(u - \varphi_h, z - z_h)$   
=  $J(u - \varphi_h) - a(u - \varphi_h, z_h)$   
=:  $r_h^*(z_h)(u - \varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h$ 

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



- ►  $J(u-u_h) = r_h(u_h)(z-\Pi z), \quad \Pi: V \to V_h$
- $\blacktriangleright$  z has to be approximated numerically by  $z_h^+$ ,
- ►  $z_h^+$  must be in a richer space than  $V_h$ :
- 1. bigger problem:  $z_h^+ \in V_h^+: a(arphi_h, z_h^+) = J(arphi_h) \quad orall arphi_h \in V_h^+,$
- 2. same sized problem + reconstruction  $z_h^+ = \mathscr{R}(z_h) \in V_h^+$

#### Computable goal-oriented error estimate

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| \approx \eta^{\mathrm{I}} := \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mathrm{S}}(u_h, z_h) + \eta_{\mathrm{S}}^*(u_h, z_h))$$

where



- ►  $J(u-u_h) = r_h(u_h)(z-\Pi z), \quad \Pi: V \to V_h$
- > z has to be approximated numerically by  $z_h^+$ ,
- ►  $z_h^+$  must be in a richer space than  $V_h$ :
- 1. bigger problem:  $z_h^+ \in V_h^+: a(arphi_h, z_h^+) = J(arphi_h) \quad orall arphi_h \in V_h^+,$
- 2. same sized problem + reconstruction  $z_h^+ = \mathscr{R}(z_h) \in V_h^+$

#### Computable goal-oriented error estimate

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| \approx \eta^{\mathrm{I}} := \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mathrm{S}}(u_h, z_h) + \eta_{\mathrm{S}}^*(u_h, z_h))$$

where



- ►  $J(u-u_h) = r_h(u_h)(z-\Pi z), \quad \Pi: V \to V_h$
- *z* has to be approximated numerically by  $z_h^+$ ,
- $z_h^+$  must be in a richer space than  $V_h$ :
- 1. bigger problem:  $z_h^+ \in V_h^+$  :  $a(\varphi_h, z_h^+) = J(\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h^+$ ,
- 2. same sized problem + reconstruction  $z_h^+ = \mathscr{R}(z_h) \in V_h^+$

#### Computable goal-oriented error estimate

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{I}} := rac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mathrm{S}}(u_h, z_h) + \eta_{\mathrm{S}}^*(u_h, z_h))$$

where



- ►  $J(u-u_h) = r_h(u_h)(z-\Pi z), \quad \Pi: V \to V_h$
- *z* has to be approximated numerically by  $z_h^+$ ,
- $z_h^+$  must be in a richer space than  $V_h$ :
- 1. bigger problem:  $z_h^+ \in V_h^+$ :  $a(\varphi_h, z_h^+) = J(\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h^+$ ,
- 2. same sized problem + reconstruction  $z_h^+ = \mathscr{R}(z_h) \in V_h^+$

#### Computable goal-oriented error estimate

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| \approx \eta^{\mathrm{I}} := \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mathrm{S}}(u_h, z_h) + \eta_{\mathrm{S}}^*(u_h, z_h))$$

where



- ►  $J(u-u_h) = r_h(u_h)(z-\Pi z), \quad \Pi: V \to V_h$
- *z* has to be approximated numerically by  $z_h^+$ ,
- ►  $z_h^+$  must be in a richer space than  $V_h$ :
- 1. bigger problem:  $z_h^+ \in V_h^+$ :  $a(\varphi_h, z_h^+) = J(\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h^+$ ,
- 2. same sized problem + reconstruction  $z_h^+ = \mathscr{R}(z_h) \in V_h^+$

#### Computable goal-oriented error estimate

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| \approx \eta^{\mathrm{I}} := \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\mathrm{S}}(u_h, z_h) + \eta_{\mathrm{S}}^*(u_h, z_h))$$

where

$$\eta_{\rm S} := r_h(u_h)(z_h^+ - \Pi z_h^+), \qquad \eta_{\rm S}^* := r_h^*(z_h)(u_h^+ - \Pi u_h^+).$$

- ►  $J(u-u_h) = r_h(u_h)(z-\Pi z), \quad \Pi: V \to V_h$
- z has to be approximated numerically by  $z_h^+$ ,
- $z_h^+$  must be in a richer space than  $V_h$ :
- 1. bigger problem:  $z_h^+ \in V_h^+$ :  $a(\varphi_h, z_h^+) = J(\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h^+$ ,
- 2. same sized problem + reconstruction  $z_h^+ = \mathscr{R}(z_h) \in V_h^+$

#### Computable goal-oriented error estimate

due to  $z \approx z_h^+, \, u \approx u_h^+$  the estimate is not guaranteed upper bound



- discontinuous Galerkin method
- adjoint consistent discretization
- ► algebraic errors
- hp-anisotropic mesh adaptation

# 12

#### Primal discrete problem

Find 
$$u_h \in S_h^p := \{ v \in L^2(\Omega); v |_k \in P^p(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \}$$
 such that

$$a_h(u_h,\varphi_h) = a_{DG}(u_h,\varphi) + J_h^{\sigma}(u_h,\varphi) = (f,\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in S_h^{\rho},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{a}_{DG}(u,\varphi) &:= \sum_{K} \int_{K} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{F}_{h}} \int_{\Gamma} (\langle \nabla u \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![\varphi]\!] \\ &+ \Theta \, \langle \nabla \varphi \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![u]\!]) \, \mathrm{d}S, \quad \Theta \in \{-1,0,1\} \\ J_{h}^{\sigma}(u,\varphi) &:= \sum_{\mathscr{F}_{h}^{I}} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma[\![u]\!][\![\varphi]\!] \, \mathrm{d}S, \quad \sigma \big|_{\Gamma} = \frac{C_{W}}{h_{\Gamma}}. \end{split}$$

Adjoint discrete problem

Find  $z_h \in S_h^p$  such that

 $a_h(\phi_h, z_h) = J(\phi_h) \quad \forall \phi_h \in S_h^p.$ 

# 12

#### Primal discrete problem

Find 
$$u_h \in S_h^p := \{ v \in L^2(\Omega); v |_k \in P^p(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \}$$
 such that

$$a_h(u_h,\varphi_h) = a_{DG}(u_h,\varphi) + J_h^{\sigma}(u_h,\varphi) = (f,\varphi_h) \quad \forall \varphi_h \in S_h^{\rho},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{a}_{DG}(u,\varphi) &:= \sum_{K} \int_{K} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{F}_{h}} \int_{\Gamma} (\langle \nabla u \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![\varphi]\!] \\ &+ \Theta \, \langle \nabla \varphi \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![u]\!]) \, \mathrm{d}S, \quad \Theta \in \{-1,0,1\} \\ J_{h}^{\sigma}(u,\varphi) &:= \sum_{\mathscr{F}_{h}^{I}} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma[\![u]\!][\![\varphi]\!] \, \mathrm{d}S, \quad \sigma \big|_{\Gamma} = \frac{C_{W}}{h_{\Gamma}}. \end{split}$$

#### Adjoint discrete problem

Find  $z_h \in S_h^p$  such that

$$a_h(\phi_h, z_h) = J(\phi_h) \quad \forall \phi_h \in S_h^p.$$

# Consistency of the discretization



# Consistency of the discretization



# Consistency of the discretization





#### Consistency

- All three variants of DG discretization (SIPG,NIPG,IIPG) are consistent.
- Only the symmetric variant (SIPG) of DG discretization is adjoint consistent.

Problematic term in the discretization of the diffusive term:

$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\int_K \nabla\varphi\cdot\nabla z\,\mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma\in\mathscr{F}_h^{D}}\int_{\Gamma}\langle\nabla\varphi\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{n}[\![z]\!] + \theta\,\langle\nabla z\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{n}[\![\varphi]\!]\,\mathrm{d}S.$$

#### Poisson problem

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \qquad u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$



#### Consistency

- All three variants of DG discretization (SIPG,NIPG,IIPG) are consistent.
- Only the symmetric variant (SIPG) of DG discretization is adjoint consistent.
- Problematic term in the discretization of the diffusive term:

$$\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_h}\int_K \nabla\varphi\cdot\nabla z\,\mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma\in\mathscr{F}_h^{D}}\int_{\Gamma}\langle\nabla\varphi\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{n}[\![z]\!] + \theta\,\langle\nabla z\rangle\cdot\boldsymbol{n}[\![\varphi]\!]\,\mathrm{d}S.$$

#### Poisson problem

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \qquad u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$



#### Consistency

- All three variants of DG discretization (SIPG,NIPG,IIPG) are consistent.
- Only the symmetric variant (SIPG) of DG discretization is adjoint consistent.
- ► Problematic term in the discretization of the diffusive term:  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla z \, \mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{F}_h^D} \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla \varphi \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n} [\![z]\!] + \theta \, \langle \nabla z \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n} [\![\varphi]\!] \, \mathrm{d}S.$

#### Poisson problem

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \qquad u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$



#### Consistency

- All three variants of DG discretization (SIPG,NIPG,IIPG) are consistent.
- Only the symmetric variant (SIPG) of DG discretization is adjoint consistent.
- Problematic term in the discretization of the diffusive term:  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla z \, \mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{F}_h^{D}} \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla \varphi \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![z]\!] + \theta \, \langle \nabla z \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![\varphi]\!] \, \mathrm{d}S.$

#### Poisson problem

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \qquad u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$
### Adjoint Consistency



#### Consistency

- All three variants of DG discretization (SIPG,NIPG,IIPG) are consistent.
- Only the symmetric variant (SIPG) of DG discretization is adjoint consistent.
- Problematic term in the discretization of the diffusive term:  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla z \, \mathrm{d}x - \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathscr{F}_h^{D}} \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla \varphi \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![z]\!] + \theta \, \langle \nabla z \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{n}[\![\varphi]\!] \, \mathrm{d}S.$

#### Poisson problem

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega = (0, 1)^2, \qquad u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

with *f* such that: u = 16x(1 - x)y(1 - y). Quantity of interest:  $J(u) = \int_{\Omega} fu \, dx \implies z = u$  Adjoint Consistency Poisson problem



primal sol \_\_\_\_\_ dual sol \_\_\_\_\_



#### Figure: NIPG

Adjoint Consistency Poisson problem



primal sol \_\_\_\_\_ dual sol \_\_\_\_\_



Figure: SIPG



Practically we do not have  $u_h$ , but only  $u_h^k$ , which is also suffering from algebraic errors. That leads to violation of the Galerkin orthogonality, i.e.  $a_h(u - u_h^k, \varphi_h) \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi_h \in S_h^p$ .

#### Two ways of splitting the error

$$J(u - u_{h}^{k}) = a(u - u_{h}^{k}, z) = a(u - u_{h}^{k}, z - z_{h}^{k}) + a(u - u_{h}^{k}, z_{h}^{k})$$

$$= \ell_{h}(z - z_{h}^{k}) - a(u_{h}^{k}, z - z_{h}^{k}) + \ell_{h}(z_{h}^{k}) - a(u_{h}^{k}, z_{h}^{k})$$

$$\approx \underbrace{r_{h}(u_{h}^{k})(z_{h}^{+} - z_{h}^{k})}_{\text{disc. error}} + \underbrace{r_{h}(u_{h}^{k})(z_{h}^{k})}_{\text{alg. error}}.$$

$$J(u - u_{h}^{k}) = J(u - u_{h}) + J(u_{h} - u_{h}^{k})$$



Practically we do not have  $u_h$ , but only  $u_h^k$ , which is also suffering from algebraic errors. That leads to violation of the Galerkin orthogonality, i.e.  $a_h(u - u_h^k, \varphi_h) \neq 0$ ,  $\varphi_h \in S_h^p$ .

#### Two ways of splitting the error

$$\begin{aligned} J(u - u_h^k) &= a(u - u_h^k, z) = a(u - u_h^k, z - z_h^k) + a(u - u_h^k, z_h^k) \\ &= \ell_h(z - z_h^k) - a(u_h^k, z - z_h^k) + \ell_h(z_h^k) - a(u_h^k, z_h^k) \\ &\approx \underbrace{r_h(u_h^k)(z_h^+ - z_h^k)}_{\text{disc. error}} + \underbrace{r_h(u_h^k)(z_h^k)}_{\text{alg. error}}. \end{aligned}$$

#### Algebraic representation of the discrete problem

$$egin{aligned} a_h(u_h,arphi_h) &= \ell_h(arphi_h), \quad a_h(\psi_h,z_h) &= J(\psi_h) \quad orall arphi_h,\psi_h\in S_h^{
ho} \ &\downarrow \ & \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{T}}y = c \end{aligned}$$

#### Biconjugate gradient method (BiCG)

- ► BiCG is a Krylov subspace method using short recurrences for solving altogether both systems Ax = b, A<sup>T</sup>y = c
- ln each iteration updates approximations  $x_k$ ,  $y_k$  using coefficients  $\alpha_k$ ,  $\beta_k$  and vectors  $r_k$ ,  $s_k$ ,  $p_k$ ,  $q_k$ .

#### Algebraic representation of the discrete problem

$$egin{aligned} a_h(u_h,arphi_h) &= \ell_h(arphi_h), \quad a_h(\psi_h,z_h) &= J(\psi_h) \quad orall arphi_h,\psi_h\in S_h^{
ho} \ &\downarrow \ & \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{T}}y = c \end{aligned}$$

#### Biconjugate gradient method (BiCG)

- ► BiCG is a Krylov subspace method using short recurrences for solving altogether both systems Ax = b, A<sup>T</sup>y = c
- ► In each iteration updates approximations  $x_k$ ,  $y_k$  using coefficients  $\alpha_k$ ,  $\beta_k$  and vectors  $r_k$ ,  $s_k$ ,  $p_k$ ,  $q_k$ .

### BiCG and goal-oriented estimates



#### Estimate of the algebraic error

$$J(u_{h}) = c^{T} \mathbb{A}^{-1} b = \xi^{P} + \xi^{B}_{k} + s^{T}_{k} \mathbb{A}^{-1} r_{k},$$
  
where  $\xi^{P} = c^{T} x_{0} + y^{T}_{0} r_{0}, \xi^{B}_{k} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{i} s^{T}_{i} r_{i}.$  Then  
 $J(u_{h}) - J(u^{k}_{h}) = \xi^{B}_{k+\nu} - \xi^{B}_{k} + s^{T}_{k+\nu} \mathbb{A}^{-1} r_{k+\nu} \approx \xi^{B}_{k+\nu} - \xi^{B}_{k}$ 

#### σ-stopping criterion [Dolejší and Tichý, 2020]

 $\max(\sigma_{A,k}, \sigma^*_{A,k}) \leq c_A \omega$  where  $\omega > 0$  is given tolerance and

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A,k} &:= |\xi_{k+\nu}^{B} - \xi_{k}^{B}| + |y_{k}^{T}r_{k}| \approx |J(u_{h} - u_{h}^{k})| + |r_{h}(u_{h}^{k})(z_{h}^{k})|, \\ \sigma_{A,k}^{*} &:= |\xi_{k+\nu}^{B} - \xi_{k}^{B}| + |s_{k}^{T}x_{k}| \approx |\ell_{h}(z_{h} - z_{h}^{k})| + |r_{h}^{*}(z_{h}^{k})(u_{h}^{k})|. \end{aligned}$ 

### BiCG and goal-oriented estimates



#### Estimate of the algebraic error

$$J(u_h) = c^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{A}^{-1} b = \xi^P + \xi_k^B + s_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{A}^{-1} r_k,$$
  
where  $\xi^P = c^{\mathrm{T}} x_0 + y_0^{\mathrm{T}} r_0, \xi_k^B = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i s_i^{\mathrm{T}} r_i.$  Then  
$$J(u_h) - J(u_h^k) = \xi_{k+\nu}^B - \xi_k^B + s_{k+\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{A}^{-1} r_{k+\nu} \approx \xi_{k+\nu}^B - \xi_k^B$$

#### $\sigma$ -stopping criterion [Dolejší and Tichý, 2020]

 $\max(\sigma_{A,k}, \sigma^*_{A,k}) \leq c_A \omega$  where  $\omega > 0$  is given tolerance and

 $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A,k} &:= |\xi_{k+\nu}^{B} - \xi_{k}^{B}| + |y_{k}^{T}r_{k}| \approx |J(u_{h} - u_{h}^{k})| + |r_{h}(u_{h}^{k})(z_{h}^{k})|, \\ \sigma_{A,k}^{*} &:= |\xi_{k+\nu}^{B} - \xi_{k}^{B}| + |s_{k}^{T}x_{k}| \approx |\ell_{h}(z_{h} - z_{h}^{k})| + |r_{h}^{*}(z_{h}^{k})(u_{h}^{k})|. \end{aligned}$ 

### BiCG and goal-oriented estimates



#### Estimate of the algebraic error

$$J(u_h) = c^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{A}^{-1} b = \xi^P + \xi_k^B + s_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{A}^{-1} r_k,$$
  
where  $\xi^P = c^{\mathrm{T}} x_0 + y_0^{\mathrm{T}} r_0, \xi_k^B = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i s_i^{\mathrm{T}} r_i.$  Then  
$$J(u_h) - J(u_h^k) = \xi_{k+\nu}^B - \xi_k^B + s_{k+\nu}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{A}^{-1} r_{k+\nu} \approx \xi_{k+\nu}^B - \xi_k^B$$

#### $\sigma$ -stopping criterion [Dolejší and Tichý, 2020]

 $\max(\sigma_{A,k}, \sigma^*_{A,k}) \leq c_A \omega$  where  $\omega > 0$  is given tolerance and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A,k} &:= |\xi_{k+\nu}^{B} - \xi_{k}^{B}| + |y_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} r_{k}| \approx |J(u_{h} - u_{h}^{k})| + |r_{h}(u_{h}^{k})(z_{h}^{k})|, \\ \sigma_{A,k}^{*} &:= |\xi_{k+\nu}^{B} - \xi_{k}^{B}| + |s_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} x_{k}| \approx |\ell_{h}(z_{h} - z_{h}^{k})| + |r_{h}^{*}(z_{h}^{k})(u_{h}^{k})| \end{aligned}$$

# 19

### Our goal

Construct an anisotropic hp-mesh such that

- the error estimate  $\eta^{I}$  is under the given tolerance
- the number of Degrees of Freedom (DoF) is minimal

#### hp-anisotropic mesh adaptation

We optimize

- 1. size of each triangle K (adaptive)
- 2. shape of each triangle (anisotropic)
- 3. local polynomial approximation degree (hp-)

# 19

### Our goal

Construct an anisotropic hp-mesh such that

- the error estimate  $\eta^{I}$  is under the given tolerance
- the number of Degrees of Freedom (DoF) is minimal

#### hp-anisotropic mesh adaptation

We optimize

- 1. size of each triangle K (adaptive)
- 2. shape of each triangle (anisotropic)
- 3. local polynomial approximation degree (hp-)



#### Anisotropic mesh adaptation

Each element of the mesh should have optimal size and shape. Anisotropy of triangle is given by the triplet  $\{\lambda_K, \sigma_K, \phi_K\}$ :

• size 
$$\lambda_K = \sqrt{I_{K,1}I_{K,2}}$$
,

• ratio 
$$\sigma_K = \sqrt{I_{K,2}/I_{K,1}}$$

• orientation  $\phi_{\mathcal{K}} \in [0, \pi)$ 



#### *hp*-mesh adaptation

Each element K has its own pol. degree approximation  $p_K$ .

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



#### Anisotropic mesh adaptation

Each element of the mesh should have optimal size and shape. Anisotropy of triangle is given by the triplet  $\{\lambda_K, \sigma_K, \phi_K\}$ :

• size 
$$\lambda_K = \sqrt{I_{K,1}I_{K,2}}$$
,

• ratio 
$$\sigma_K = \sqrt{I_{K,2}/I_{K,1}}$$

• orientation  $\phi_{\mathcal{K}} \in [0, \pi)$ 



#### *hp*-mesh adaptation

Each element K has its own pol. degree approximation  $p_K$ .

## *hp*-anisotropic mesh adaptation Goal-oriented error estimates in residual form



#### Goal-oriented error estimates in residual form

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta^{\mathrm{I\!I}}_K$$

where

$$\begin{split} \eta_{K}^{\mathrm{I\!I}} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left( R_{K,\mathrm{V}} \left\| z_{h}^{+} - \Pi z_{h}^{+} \right\|_{K} + R_{K,\mathrm{V}}^{*} \left\| u_{h}^{+} - \Pi u_{h}^{+} \right\|_{K} \right. \\ &+ \left. R_{K,\mathrm{B}} \left\| z_{h}^{+} - \Pi z_{h}^{+} \right\|_{\partial K} + R_{K,\mathrm{B}}^{*} \left\| u_{h}^{+} - \Pi u_{h}^{+} \right\|_{\partial K} \right. \\ &+ \left. R_{K,\mathrm{D}} \left\| \mathbb{A} \nabla (z_{h}^{+} - \Pi z_{h}^{+}) \right\|_{\partial K} + R_{K,\mathrm{D}}^{*} \left\| \mathbb{A} \nabla (u_{h}^{+} - \Pi u_{h}^{+}) \right\|_{\partial K} \right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{K,\nabla}(u_h) &:= f + \Delta u_h, & R_{K,\nabla} = \|r_{K,\nabla}(u_h)\|_K \\ r_{K,B}(u_h) &:= \begin{cases} -\delta \llbracket u_h \rrbracket \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_K - \frac{1}{2} \llbracket \nabla u_h \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{n} & \text{on } \partial K \setminus \partial \Omega, \\ \delta u_h & \text{on } \partial K \cap \partial \Omega, \end{cases} & R_{K,B} = \|r_{K,B}(u_h)\|_{\partial K} \\ r_{K,D}(u_h) &:= \begin{cases} \theta \frac{1}{2} \llbracket u_h \rrbracket & \text{on } \partial K \setminus \partial \Omega, \\ \theta u_h & \text{on } \partial K \cap \partial \Omega. \end{cases} & R_{K,D} = \|r_{K,D}(u_h)\|_{\partial K} \end{aligned}$$

#### Anisotropic goal-oriented error estimates

Using the anisotropy of the triangle { $\lambda_K$ ,  $\sigma_K$ ,  $\phi_K$ } and the anisotropy of  $(u_h^+ - \Pi u_h^+)|_K$  denoted by { $A_u$ ,  $\rho_u$ ,  $\varphi_u$ } we estimate

$$\left\|u_{h}^{+}-\Pi u_{h}^{+}\right\|_{K}^{2} \leq \frac{A_{u}^{2}\lambda_{K}^{2(p_{K}+2)}}{2p_{K}+4}\boldsymbol{G}(p_{K}+1,p_{K}+1,\rho_{u},\varphi_{u};\sigma_{K},\phi_{K}) =:\theta_{K,V}^{2},$$

etc. and then

$$\begin{split} \eta_{K}^{\mathbb{I}} &\leq \eta_{K}^{\mathbb{II}} := \frac{1}{2} (R_{K, \mathrm{V}} \theta_{K, \mathrm{V}}^{*} + R_{K, \mathrm{B}} \theta_{K, \mathrm{B}}^{*} + R_{K, \mathrm{D}} \theta_{K, \mathrm{D}}^{*} \\ &+ R_{K, \mathrm{V}}^{*} \theta_{K, \mathrm{V}} + R_{K, \mathrm{B}}^{*} \theta_{K, \mathrm{B}} + R_{K, \mathrm{D}}^{*} \theta_{K, \mathrm{D}}) \end{split}$$











#### **Problem setting**

 $-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega$  $u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$ 

in the cross shaped domain  $\Omega = (-2, 2) \times (-1, 1) \cup (-1, 1) \times (-2, 2)$ .







Figure: Adaptive computations for mesh adaptation steps m = 0, ..., 5, primal quantities.





Figure: Adaptive computations for mesh adaptation steps m = 0, ..., 5, adjoint quantities.





Figure: Convergence of the error estimate  $\eta^{I} = \eta^{I}(u_{h}^{(n)}, z_{h}^{(n)})$  w.r.t. DoF for four adaptation strategies.





Figure: Comparison of the error estimates  $\eta^{I}$  and  $\eta^{II}$  with the actual error  $J(u - u_h)$  for the *hp*-AMA method





Figure: The final *hp*-mesh obtained by the *hp*-AMA method, total view (left) and a  $1000 \times \text{ zoom of the corner singularity at x = (1, 1) (right).}$ 

# 27

#### Problem setting

$$-
abla \cdot (arepsilon 
abla u) + 
abla \cdot (oldsymbol{b} u) + oldsymbol{c} u = 0$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\delta}{2} \left( 1 - \tanh\left(\frac{(r - \frac{1}{4})(r + \frac{1}{4})}{\gamma}\right) \right), \qquad r = \sqrt{(x - 1/2)^2 + (y - 1/2)^2}$$
  
$$b = (2y^2 - 4x + 1, y + 1), \qquad c = -\nabla \cdot b.$$



Figure: Primal (left) and adjoint (center) solutions and the function  $\varepsilon$  (right).

#### Problem setting

$$-\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla u) + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{b} u) + \boldsymbol{c} u = 0$$

Boundary conditions:

 $\bullet \quad u_D = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } 0 < y \le 1, \\ \sin^2(\pi x) & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 1 \text{ and } y = 0, \\ e^{-50y^4} & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } 0 < y \le 1, \end{cases}$ 

• homogeneous Neumann if  $0 \le x \le 1$  and y = 1.



Figure: Primal (left) and adjoint (center) solutions and the function  $\varepsilon$  (right).

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them

#### Problem setting

$$-
abla \cdot (arepsilon 
abla u) + 
abla \cdot (oldsymbol{b} u) + oldsymbol{c} u = 0$$

Target functional:

$$J(u) = \int_{0.25}^{0.625} u(x, 1) \, \mathrm{d}x$$



Figure: Primal (left) and adjoint (center) solutions and the function  $\varepsilon$  (right).

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them





Figure: Convergence of the error estimate  $\eta^{I} = \eta^{I}(u_{h}^{(n)}, z_{h}^{(n)})$  w.r.t. DoF for three adaptation strategies.





Figure: Comparison of the error estimates  $\eta^{I}$  and  $\eta^{II}$  with the actual error  $J(u - u_h)$  for the *hp*-AMA method





#### Nonlinear problems



#### Abstract nonlinear problem

Determine the value of the goal-functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} j_{\Omega}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\partial \Omega} j_{\Gamma}(u) \, \mathrm{d}S,$$

given that *u* solves

 $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad \mathcal{B}(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$ 

#### Abstract discrete problem

Find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a_h(u_h;\varphi_h)=0 \qquad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$$



#### Abstract nonlinear problem

Determine the value of the goal-functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} j_{\Omega}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\partial \Omega} j_{\Gamma}(u) \, \mathrm{d}S,$$

given that *u* solves

 $\mathcal{A}(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad \mathcal{B}(u) = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$ 

#### Abstract discrete problem

Find  $u_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a_h(u_h;\varphi_h)=0 \qquad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h.$$
#### Fréchet derivative

A function  $f : V \to W$  is called *Fréchet differentiable* at  $x \in V$  if there exist a continuous linear operator  $f'[x] : V \to W$  such that

$$\lim_{\|h\|_{V}\to 0} \frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x) - f'[x](h)\|_{W}}{\|h\|_{V}} = 0.$$

#### Adjoint problem

Find a function  $z \in V$  such that

 $(\mathcal{A}'[u])^* z = j'_{\Omega}[u] \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (\mathcal{B}'[u])^* z = j'_{\Gamma}[u] \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$ 

#### Fréchet derivative

A function  $f : V \to W$  is called *Fréchet differentiable* at  $x \in V$  if there exist a continuous linear operator  $f'[x] : V \to W$  such that

$$\lim_{h \parallel_{V} \to 0} \frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x) - f'[x](h)\|_{W}}{\|h\|_{V}} = 0.$$

#### Adjoint problem

Find a function  $z \in V$  such that

 $(\mathcal{A}'[u])^* z = j'_{\Omega}[u] \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (\mathcal{B}'[u])^* z = j'_{\Gamma}[u] \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$ 

# Motivating experiment



### Problem setting

$$-\varepsilon \nabla \cdot (|u|^{\gamma} \nabla u) + \nabla (\boldsymbol{b}u) = f \text{ in } \Omega = (0, 1)^{2},$$
$$u = g_{D} \text{ on } \Gamma_{D} = \{(0, x_{2}) \in \partial \Omega\},$$
$$\nabla \cdot u = g_{N} \text{ in } \Gamma_{N} = \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_{D},$$

where 
$$\varepsilon = 10^{-2}$$
,  $\boldsymbol{b} = (1, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ ,  $\gamma \ge 0$ .  
Exact solution:  
 $u = \arctan(-25(x_1 - 0.4)) + \frac{\pi}{2}$ 



Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them

# Motivating experiment

## Problem setting

Target functional:

$$J(u)=\frac{1}{|\Omega_J|}\int_{\Omega_J}u\,\mathrm{d}x$$

Adjoint problem:

$$-\varepsilon\nabla\cdot(|u|^{\gamma}\nabla z+\gamma|u|^{\gamma-1}\nabla uz)-\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\nabla z=\frac{1}{|\Omega_{J}|}\chi_{\Omega_{J}}.$$



Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



# Adjoint solution





(a) Linear case ( $\gamma = 0$ )

(b) Nonlinear case ( $\gamma = 2$ )

### Discrete adjoint problem

Find a function  $z_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a_h'[u_h](\psi_h, z_h) = J'[u_h](\psi_h) \qquad \forall \psi_h \in V_h.$$

#### Residuals

 $\begin{aligned} r_h(u_h)(\cdot) &= -\mathcal{A}(u_h; \cdot), \\ r_h^*(z_h)(\cdot) &= J'[u_h](\cdot) - \mathcal{A}'[u_h](\cdot, z_h) \end{aligned}$ 

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them

### Discrete adjoint problem

Find a function  $z_h \in V_h$  such that

$$a_h'[u_h](\psi_h, z_h) = J'[u_h](\psi_h) \qquad \forall \psi_h \in V_h.$$

## Residuals

$$\begin{aligned} r_h(u_h)(\cdot) &= -A(u_h; \cdot), \\ r_h^*(z_h)(\cdot) &= J'[u_h](\cdot) - A'[u_h](\cdot, z_h) \end{aligned}$$

# Error identity for nonlinear problems

$$J(u) - J(u_h) = rac{1}{2}r_h(u_h)(z-\psi_h) + rac{1}{2}r_h^*(z_h)(u-\phi_h) + R_h^3 
onumber \ orall \psi_h, \phi_h \in V_h,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_h(u_h)(\cdot) &= -\mathcal{A}(u_h; \cdot), \\ r_h^*(z_h)(\cdot) &= J'[u_h](\cdot) - \mathcal{A}'[u_h](\cdot, z_h) \end{aligned}$$

and the remainder  $R_h^3$  is cubic in the primal and adjoint errors

$$e = u - u_h, \quad e^* = z - z_h.$$



#### Nonlinear problems Inviscid compressible flow



### Euler equations

$$\sum_{s=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}_{s}(\boldsymbol{w})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{s}} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{s}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \boldsymbol{v}_{s} & \boldsymbol{v}_{s} \\ \rho \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{s} + \delta_{1s} \boldsymbol{p} \\ \vdots \\ \rho \boldsymbol{v}_{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{s} + \delta_{ds} \boldsymbol{p} \\ (\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{v}_{s} \end{pmatrix}$$

with boundary conditions  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_W$  and proper boundary conditions on  $\Gamma_{IO}$ , [Dolejí, Feistauer, 2015].

#### Quantity of interest - drag or lift

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \int_{\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{w}}} \mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \vartheta \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{p} = (\gamma - 1)(\boldsymbol{E} - \rho |\boldsymbol{v}|^2 / 2),$$
$$\vartheta_d = \frac{1}{C_{\infty}} (\cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha))^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \vartheta_l = \frac{1}{C_{\infty}} (-\sin(\alpha), \cos(\alpha))^{\mathrm{T}},$$

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them

#### Nonlinear problems Inviscid compressible flow



#### Euler equations

$$\sum_{s=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}_{s}(\boldsymbol{w})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{s}} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{s}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \boldsymbol{v}_{s} & \boldsymbol{v}_{s} \\ \rho \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{s} + \delta_{1s} \boldsymbol{p} \\ \vdots \\ \rho \boldsymbol{v}_{d} \boldsymbol{v}_{s} + \delta_{ds} \boldsymbol{p} \\ (\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{v}_{s} \end{pmatrix}$$

with boundary conditions  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$  on  $\Gamma_W$  and proper boundary conditions on  $\Gamma_{IO}$ , [Dolejí, Feistauer, 2015].

#### Quantity of interest - drag or lift

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \int_{\Gamma_{W}} \mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{w}) n \cdot \vartheta \, \mathrm{d}S, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{p} = (\gamma - 1)(E - \rho |\boldsymbol{v}|^{2}/2),$$
$$\vartheta_{d} = \frac{1}{C_{\infty}} (\cos(\alpha), \sin(\alpha))^{\mathrm{T}} \quad \vartheta_{l} = \frac{1}{C_{\infty}} (-\sin(\alpha), \cos(\alpha))^{\mathrm{T}},$$

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them



### Discrete primal problem

We say that a function  $\boldsymbol{w}_h \in \boldsymbol{S}_{h,p}$  is the discrete solution of the Euler equations, if

$$a_h(\boldsymbol{w}_h, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_h) = 0 \qquad orall \boldsymbol{\varphi}_h \in \boldsymbol{S}_{h, p},$$

where  $a_h(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = -\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \sum_{s=1}^d \boldsymbol{f}_s(\boldsymbol{w}) \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial x_s} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{w}^{(+)}, \boldsymbol{w}^{(-)}, n) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}S$ 

#### Discrete adjoint problem

Find  $m{z}_h \in m{S}_{h,p}$ :  $a'_h[m{w}_h](m{arphi}_h, m{z}_h) = J'[m{w}_h](m{arphi}_h)$   $orall m{arphi}_h \in m{S}_{h,p}$ , where

$$\begin{split} a_h'[w_h](\varphi_h, z_h) &= -\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \sum_{s=1}^d f_s'[w_h](\varphi_h) \cdot \frac{\partial z_h}{\partial x_s} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\partial K} (\mathbb{H}_{w_h^{(+)}}(w_h)\varphi_h^{(+)} + \mathbb{H}_{w_h^{(-)}}(w_h)\varphi_h^{(-)}) \cdot z_h \, \, \mathrm{d}S. \end{split}$$



### Discrete primal problem

We say that a function  $\boldsymbol{w}_h \in \boldsymbol{S}_{h,p}$  is the discrete solution of the Euler equations, if

$$a_h(\boldsymbol{w}_h, \boldsymbol{arphi}_h) = 0 \qquad orall \boldsymbol{arphi}_h \in \boldsymbol{S}_{h,p},$$

where  $a_h(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = -\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_K \sum_{s=1}^d \boldsymbol{f}_s(\boldsymbol{w}) \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}}{\partial x_s} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_{\partial K} \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{w}^{(+)}, \boldsymbol{w}^{(-)}, n) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}S$ 

#### Discrete adjoint problem

 $\mbox{Find} \ \pmb{z}_h \in \pmb{S}_{h,p}: \quad \pmb{a}_h'[\pmb{w}_h](\pmb{\varphi}_h, \pmb{z}_h) = J'[\pmb{w}_h](\pmb{\varphi}_h) \qquad \forall \pmb{\varphi}_h \in \pmb{S}_{h,p}, \mbox{ where }$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{a}_{h}'[\mathbf{w}_{h}](\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}, \mathbf{z}_{h}) &= -\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \int_{K} \sum_{s=1}^{d} \mathbf{f}_{s}'[\mathbf{w}_{h}](\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{h}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{s}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \int_{\partial K} (\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{w}_{h}^{(+)}}(\mathbf{w}_{h}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}^{(+)} + \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{w}_{h}^{(-)}}(\mathbf{w}_{h}) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{h}^{(-)}) \cdot \mathbf{z}_{h} \, \, \mathrm{d}S. \end{split}$$



- boundary conditions in the discrete formulation
- adjoint consistency (depends on the b.c.,  $J \approx J_h$ )
- approximation of  $a_h'[w_h](\cdot, \cdot) \approx a_h^L(w_h; \cdot, \cdot)$ 
  - for definition of the linearized discrete adjoint problem
  - for solving the nonlinear primal algebraic problem (Newton-like method)
- anisotropic hp-mesh adaptation

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta^{\mathrm{III}}_K$$



- boundary conditions in the discrete formulation
- adjoint consistency (depends on the b.c.,  $J \approx J_h$ )
- approximation of  $a_h'[\boldsymbol{w}_h](\cdot,\cdot) \approx \boldsymbol{a}_h^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{w}_h;\cdot,\cdot)$ 
  - for definition of the linearized discrete adjoint problem
  - for solving the nonlinear primal algebraic problem (Newton-like method)

anisotropic hp-mesh adaptation

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \sum_{\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta^{\mathrm{III}}_{\mathcal{K}}$$



- boundary conditions in the discrete formulation
- adjoint consistency (depends on the b.c.,  $J \approx J_h$ )
- approximation of  $a_h'[\boldsymbol{w}_h](\cdot, \cdot) \approx \boldsymbol{a}_h^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{w}_h; \cdot, \cdot)$ 
  - for definition of the linearized discrete adjoint problem
  - for solving the nonlinear primal algebraic problem (Newton-like method)

anisotropic hp-mesh adaptation

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \leq \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta^{\mathrm{II}}_K$$



- boundary conditions in the discrete formulation
- adjoint consistency (depends on the b.c.,  $J \approx J_h$ )
- approximation of  $a_h'[\boldsymbol{w}_h](\cdot, \cdot) \approx \boldsymbol{a}_h^{\mathrm{L}}(\boldsymbol{w}_h; \cdot, \cdot)$ 
  - for definition of the linearized discrete adjoint problem
  - for solving the nonlinear primal algebraic problem (Newton-like method)
- anisotropic hp-mesh adaptation

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \eta^{\mathrm{II}} \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta^{\mathrm{III}}_K$$



$$\begin{aligned} |J(\boldsymbol{w}) - J(\boldsymbol{w}_h)| &\approx \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \\ &:= \frac{1}{2} |r_h(\boldsymbol{w}_h)(\boldsymbol{z}_h^+ - \boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{z}_h^+) + \frac{1}{2} r_h^*(\boldsymbol{w}_h, \boldsymbol{z}_h)(\boldsymbol{w}_h^+ - \boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{w}_h^+)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_h(\boldsymbol{w}_h)(\boldsymbol{z}_h^+ - \Pi \boldsymbol{z}_h^+) &:= -a_h(\boldsymbol{w}_h; \boldsymbol{z}_h^+ - \Pi \boldsymbol{z}_h^+), \\ r_h^*(\boldsymbol{w}_h, \boldsymbol{z}_h)(\boldsymbol{w}_h^+ - \Pi \boldsymbol{w}_h^+) &:= J_h'[\boldsymbol{w}_h](\boldsymbol{w}_h^+ - \Pi \boldsymbol{w}_h^+) - \boldsymbol{a}_h^{\mathrm{L}}[\boldsymbol{w}_h](\boldsymbol{w}_h^+ - \Pi \boldsymbol{w}_h^+, \boldsymbol{z}_h) \end{aligned}$$

Filip Roskovec | Goal-oriented a posteriori error estimates and where to find them

### Goal-oriented error estimates in residual form

$$|J(u) - J(u_h)| pprox \eta^{\mathrm{I}} \leq \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \eta^{\mathrm{II}}_K$$

#### where

$$\eta_{K}^{\mathbb{I}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}, \boldsymbol{z}_{h}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{K,V}^{i} \left\| (\boldsymbol{z}_{h}^{+} - \Pi \boldsymbol{z}_{h}^{+})^{i} \right\|_{K} + R_{K,B}^{i} \left\| (\boldsymbol{z}_{h}^{+} - \Pi \boldsymbol{z}_{h}^{+})^{i} \right\|_{\partial K} \right. \\ \left. + R_{K,V}^{*,i} \left\| (\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{+} - \Pi \boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{+})^{i} \right\|_{K} + R_{K,B}^{*,i} \left\| (\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{+} - \Pi \boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{+})^{i} \right\|_{\partial K} \right) . \\ \left. R_{K,V}^{i} := \left\| \mathbf{R}_{K}^{i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}) \right\|_{K}, \quad R_{K,B}^{i} := \left\| \mathbf{r}_{K}^{i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}) \right\|_{\partial K} \\ \left. R_{K,V}^{*,i} := \left\| \mathbf{R}_{K}^{*,i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}, \boldsymbol{z}_{h}) \right\|_{K}, \quad R_{K,B}^{*,i} := \left\| \mathbf{r}_{K}^{*,i}(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}, \boldsymbol{z}_{h}) \right\|_{\partial K} \right.$$



#### Anisotropic goal-oriented error estimates

Using the anisotropy of the triangle  $\{\lambda_K, \sigma_K, \phi_K\}$  and the anisotropy of  $(\boldsymbol{w}_h^+ - \Pi \boldsymbol{w}_h^+)|_K$  we estimate

$$\left\| \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{+} - \boldsymbol{\Pi}\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{+}\right)^{j} \right\|_{\boldsymbol{K}}^{2} \leq \underbrace{\frac{A_{u}^{2}\lambda_{K}^{2(p_{K}+2)}}{2p_{K}+4}\boldsymbol{G}(p_{K}+1,p_{K}+1,\rho_{u},\varphi_{u};\sigma_{K},\phi_{K})}_{\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{K,v}^{i}\right)^{2}}$$

etc. and then

$$\eta_{K}^{\mathbb{I}} \leq \eta_{K}^{\mathbb{II}} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{K,V}^{i} \theta_{K,V}^{*,i} + R_{K,B}^{i} \theta_{K,B}^{*,i} + R_{K,V}^{*,i} \theta_{K,V}^{i} + R_{K,B}^{*,i} \theta_{K,B}^{i} \right).$$

#### Subsonic flow Problem setting

## Problem setting

- NACA0012 airfoil
- Mach number M = 0.5,
- angle of attack  $\alpha = 0.0^{\circ}$ ,
- exact value of drag:  $c_D = 0.0$ .



Figure: Initial computational mesh

#### Subsonic flow Adaptive computation





Figure: Decrease of the error and its estimates for anisotropic p = 2 and *hp*-mesh refinement for the drag coefficient.

Subsonic flow Adaptive computation





Figure: Refined hp-meshes 5th (left) 13th (right).



## Problem setting

- NACA0012 airfoil
- Mach number M = 0.8,
- angle of attack  $\alpha = 1.25^{\circ}$ ,
- ► target functional:  $c_D$ ,  $c_L$ .



### Drag coefficient



#### Transonic flow Adaptive computation



## Drag coefficient





#### Drag coefficient





## Lift coefficient



#### Transonic flow Adaptive computation



## Lift coefficient





### Lift coefficient





- goal-oriented error estimates for linear and nonlinear equations
- adjoint consistent discretizations
- approximation of the adjoint solution z
- error estimates including algebraic errors
- adaptive refinement driven by the quantity of interest (*hp*-anisotropic)
- application to Euler equations

# Bibliography



[Bangerth and Rannacher, 2003] Bangerth, W. and Rannacher, R. (2003).

Adaptive Finite Element Methods for Differential Equations. Lectures in Mathematics. ETH Zürich.

#### [Dolejší and Feistauer, 2013] Dolejší, V. and Feistauer, M. (2015).

Discontinuous Galerkin Method Analysis and Applications to Compressible Flow. Springer Verlag, 2015

#### [Dolejší and Tichý, 2020] Dolejší, V. and Tichý, P. (2020).

On efficient numerical solution of linear algebraic systems arising in goal-oriented error estimates.

Journal of Scientific Computing, 83 (2020)

#### [Hartmann, 2007] Hartmann, R. (2007).

Adjoint consistency analysis of discontinuous galerkin discretizations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 45(6):2671–2696.

#### [Hartmann, R. and Leicht, T. 2015] Hartmann, R. and Leicht, T. (2015).

Generalized adjoint consistent treatment of wall boundary conditions for com- pressible flows. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 300 (2015) 754778.

#### [Rannacher, R. and Vihharev, J., 2013] Rannacher, R. and Vihharev, J. (2013).

Adaptive finite element analysis of nonlinear problems: balancing of discretization and iteration errors.

Journal of Numerical Mathematics

Thank you for your attention!